

International Enexo Limited

(An Exploration Stage Company)

#2080 – 777 Hornby Street, Vancouver, B.C., V6Z 1S4

Tel: 604-683-7361 Fax: 604-662-3231

Management Discussion and Analysis

For the period ended June 30, 2010

International Enexco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

The following discussion and analysis, prepared as of August 27, 2010, should be read together with the unaudited consolidated financial statements for the period ended June 30, 2010, and related notes attached thereto, which are prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles. All amounts are stated in Canadian dollars unless otherwise indicated.

The reader should also refer to the annual audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the Management Discussion and Analysis for those years.

Statements in this report that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements involving known and unknown risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual results to vary considerably from these statements. Readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

Additional information related to the Company is available for view on SEDAR at www.sedar.com, and the Company's website at www.enexco.ca.

Description of Business

International Enexco Limited is a mineral resource exploration and development stage public company incorporated under the Business Corporations Act of British Columbia. Its shares are traded on the TSX Venture Exchange under the symbol "IEC". The Company is engaged in the process of exploring prospective North American mineral properties to determine whether the properties contain reserves that are economically recoverable. The company also holds small royalty interests in several producing Canadian oil & gas properties. The underlying value of the resource properties and related exploration costs are entirely dependent on the existence of economically recoverable reserves, on the ability of the Company to obtain the necessary financing to complete development, and upon future profitable production.

Selected Annual Information

Year Ended December 31	2009	2008	2007
Total Revenues (including interest)*	\$180,446	\$558,801	\$782,702
Income (Loss) before discontinued operations and extraordinary items	(1,990,994)	(1,989,486)	(1,215,809)
Earnings (Loss) per share before discontinued and extraordinary items	(0.09)	(0.10)	(0.07)
Net Income (Loss)	(1,990,994)	(1,989,486)	(1,215,809)
Earnings (Loss) per share	(0.09)	(0.10)	(0.07)
Total Assets	19,980,566	22,260,346	22,114,088
Cash dividends declared per share	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

International Enexo Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

Summary of Quarterly Results

Quarter Ended	2010		2009				2008	
	30-Jun	31-Mar	31-Dec	30-Sep	30-Jun	31-Mar	31-Dec	30-Sep
Total Revenues *	\$18,498	\$23,556	\$33,395	\$30,217	\$34,957	\$81,877	\$217,889	\$29,468
Net Income (Loss)	(584,133)	(459,378)	(459,517)	(1,111,250)	(315,196)	(105,031)	(1,392,669)	(233,229)
Earnings (Loss) per share	\$(0.03)	\$(0.02)	\$(0.02)	\$(0.05)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.07)	\$(0.01)

* The interest income and royalty income presented on the annual financial statements are presented net of bank charges and interest and related royalty expenses.

Performance Summary
Three months ended June 30, 2010:

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, the Company experienced a net loss of \$(584,133) as compared to a net loss of \$(315,196) in 2009. The earnings (loss) per share were \$(0.03) as compared to \$(0.01) in 2009. The net loss experienced by the Company fluctuates each quarter due to the timing of certain expenditures such as stock-based compensation which is expensed upon grant of stock options or as they vest. The net loss can also fluctuate due to peripheral or incidental transactions or events such as a realized gain or a write-down or write-off of an asset.

The Company received \$11,774 in petroleum and natural gas royalties in the period as compared to \$2,578 in 2009. The petroleum and natural gas royalties received during the year fluctuates with changes in market prices for petroleum and natural gas and variances in production activity.

The Company earned \$6,724 in interest and other income in the period as compared to \$32,379 in 2009. The decrease is mainly due to the decrease in interest rates and the decrease in cash invested in interest bearing financial instruments.

The Company incurred a loss of \$380,371 during the period on the write-off of the Loomis project.

Advertising and promotion expenses for the period were \$12,113 as compared to \$18,724 in 2009. The decrease in advertising and promotion expenses during the period is due to the decrease in expenditures on the development of the Company website, the printing of promotional material and the attendance at industry related conferences. The Company has retained the services of Mau Capital Management to conduct investor relations services for the company. Mau Capital will receive a fee of \$2,500 per month for one year, and was previously granted 100,000 stock options at \$0.25 per share for a three year period.

International Enexco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

Filing fees and shareholder services for the three month period were \$7,979 as compared to \$10,848 in 2009.

Consulting fees for the period were \$4,190 as compared to \$Nil in 2009. Geological consultants are engaged from time to time to assist with property investigation and exploration. If the fees are related to exploration of a project owned by Enexco the amount may be capitalized to the project.

Insurance expense for the period was \$32,178 as compared to \$27,339 in 2009. The Company maintains directors and officer's liability insurance as well as an insurance policy which covers with limits certain project liability and equipment.

Management fees for the period were \$15,000 as compared to \$12,000 in 2009. The Company pays a monthly management fee to Armada Investments Ltd., a company controlled by G. Arnold Armstrong, CEO and Director. The fee was increase from \$3,000 per month to \$5,000 on the last renewal of the contract.

Repairs and maintenance for the period were \$15,746 as compared to \$Nil in 2009. Repairs and maintenance expense on equipment is capitalized as an exploration expense during times when the equipment is being used for exploration on the Company's projects. When the equipment is not being used for exploration on projects, repairs and maintenance is expensed.

Vehicle expense for the period was \$9,433 as compared to \$665 in 2009. Vehicle expense on vehicles used for exploration is capitalized on the Company's projects. When the vehicles are not being used for exploration on projects, vehicle costs are expensed.

Professional fees for the period were \$40,113 as compared to \$27,945 in 2009. Professional fees include legal, accounting and auditing fees. Professional fees fluctuate each quarter depending on activity.

Salaries and benefits for the period were \$121,520 as compared to \$109,372 in 2009. The increase in salaries and benefits during the period compared to the prior year is due to an decrease in the amount capitalized to projects related to exploration activities and an increase in the amount expensed as compared with the prior year.

Property investigation expenses are exploration expenditures on land which we do not have title or rights, but are being considered for staking or acquisition. Property investigation expenses for the period were \$40,517 as compared to \$35,643 in 2009.

Supplies and administration expenses for the period were \$18,956 as compared to \$16,224 in 2009. Office rental expenses for the period were \$22,328 as compared to \$24,011 in 2009. The warehouse and office location in Curry, Idaho, near the Contact project, continues to be leased.

International Enesco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

Travel expenses for the period were \$2,259 as compared to \$7,552 in 2009. The decrease is due to decreased travel by management during the period. Travel expense will fluctuate each quarter depending on the travel activity of management and staff.

Foreign exchange loss (gain) for the period was \$(202,039) as compared to a loss of \$27,929 in 2009. The increase in foreign exchange is largely due to the exchange effect on translating US cash held at the balance sheet date into CDN cash equivalent.

Amortization expense for the period was \$69,413 as compared to \$8,506 in 2009. The increase is the result of expensing most of the amortization on equipment during the period as little equipment was used for exploration, compared with capitalizing amortization on equipment in the prior year as it was used in exploration on projects.

Six months ended June 30, 2010:

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company experienced a net loss of \$(1,050,511) as compared to a net loss of \$(420,227) in 2009. The earnings (loss) per share were \$(0.05) as compared to \$(0.02) in 2009. The net loss experienced by the Company fluctuates each quarter due to the timing of certain expenditures such as stock-based compensation which is expensed upon grant of stock options or as they vest. The net loss can also fluctuate due to peripheral or incidental transactions or events such as a realized gain or a write-down or write-off of an asset.

The Company received \$19,717 in petroleum and natural gas royalties in the period as compared to \$10,144 in 2009. The petroleum and natural gas royalties received during the year fluctuates with changes in market prices for petroleum and natural gas and variances in production activity.

The Company earned \$22,337 in interest and other income in the period as compared to \$106,690 in 2009. The decrease is mainly due to the decrease in interest rates and the decrease in cash invested in interest bearing financial instruments.

The Company incurred a loss of \$380,371 during the period on the write-off of the Loomis project.

Advertising and promotion expenses for the period were \$21,401 as compared to \$43,089 in 2009. The decrease in advertising and promotion expenses during the period is due to the decrease in expenditures on the development of the Company website, the printing of promotional material and the attendance at industry related conferences. The Company has retained the services of Mau Capital Management to conduct investor relations services for the company. Mau Capital will receive a fee of \$2,500 per month for one year, and was previously granted 100,000 stock options at \$0.25 per share for a three year period.

International Enexco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

Filing fees and shareholder services for the period were \$16,085 as compared to \$21,402 in 2009.

Consulting fees for the period were \$6,512 as compared to \$Nil in 2009. Geological consultants are engaged from time to time to assist with property investigation and exploration. If the fees are related to exploration of a project owned by Enexco the amount may be capitalized to the project.

Insurance expense for the period was \$37,323 as compared to \$38,412 in 2009. The Company maintains directors and officer's liability insurance as well as an insurance policy which covers with limits certain project liability and equipment.

Management fees for the period were \$30,000 as compared to \$24,000 in 2009. The Company pays a monthly management fee to Armada Investments Ltd., a company controlled by G. Arnold Armstrong, CEO and Director. The fee was increase from \$3,000 per month to \$5,000 on the last renewal of the contract.

Repairs and maintenance for the period were \$34,200 as compared to \$Nil in 2009. Repairs and maintenance expense on equipment is capitalized as an exploration expense during times when the equipment is being used for exploration on the Company's projects. When the equipment is not being used for exploration on projects, repairs and maintenance is expensed.

Professional fees for the period were \$49,212 as compared to \$38,133 in 2009. Professional fees include legal, accounting and auditing fees. Professional fees fluctuate each quarter depending on activity.

Vehicle expense for the period was \$9,433 as compared to \$665 in 2009. Vehicle expense on vehicles used for exploration is capitalized on the Company's projects. When the vehicles are not being used for exploration on projects, vehicle costs are expensed.

Salaries and benefits for the period were \$220,170 as compared to \$237,308 in 2009. The decrease in salaries and benefits during the period compared to the prior year is due to an decrease in the amount paid to part-time employees.

Property investigation expenses are exploration expenditures on land which we do not have title or rights, but are being considered for staking or acquisition. Property investigation expenses for the period were \$71,990 as compared to \$65,171 in 2009.

Supplies and administration expenses for the period were \$50,154 as compared to \$43,148 in 2009. Office rental expenses for the period were \$44,442 as compared to \$49,169 in 2009. The warehouse and office location in Curry, Idaho, near the Contact project, continues to be leased.

International Enesco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

Travel expenses for the period were \$14,291 as compared to \$16,877 in 2009. The decrease is due to decreased travel by management during the period. Travel expense will fluctuate each quarter depending on the travel activity of management and staff.

Foreign exchange loss (gain) for the period was \$(50,582) as compared to a loss of \$1,630 in 2009. The increase in foreign exchange is largely due to the exchange effect on translating US cash held at the balance sheet date into CDN cash equivalent.

Amortization expense for the period was \$139,584 as compared to \$16,526 in 2009. The increase is the result of expensing most of the amortization on equipment during the period as little equipment was used for exploration, compared with capitalizing amortization on equipment in the prior year as it was used in exploration on projects.

Properties

Mann Lake Project

The Company has a 30% participating interest in a joint venture with Cameco Corporation (“Cameco”) 52.5% and AREVA having 17.5%, interest in the joint venture, to explore the Mann Lake property for uranium. The exploration activities are being managed by Cameco Corporation. The Company has been involved with the project since 1977 which consists of two mineral dispositions totaling 3,407 hectares located within the southeast portion of the Athabasca Basin in Northern Saskatchewan.

The property is situated within the eastern portion of the Athabasca Basin, approximately 20km northeast of the Cameco Corp.’s Millennium Deposit and 25km southwest of Cameco Corp.’s McArthur River Mine. The project lands are located near the western boundary of the Wollaston Lithostructural Domain. Helikian-aged Athabasca Group sandstone overlies the basement rocks, with unconformity depths ranging from 473m to 632m as indicated by historical drill holes.

On June 20, 2006, the Company announced assay results from the two drill holes. This first phase drill program was designed to test targets selected from data obtained from the 2005/2006 geophysical program and a historic ground gravity survey conducted in the 1980’s.

The two holes totaling 1,259m were drilled from the same collar location and targeted the westernmost conductor within a resistivity low. Hole MN-013 intersected the unconformity at 517.8m and encountered four narrow intervals of basement-hosted uranium mineralization within 12m of the unconformity. The two highest grade intervals averaged 7.12% U308 over 0.25m and 5.53% U308 over 0.4m. Hole MN-014 was drilled to target the up-dip expression of the mineralization intersected in MN-013 at the unconformity. MN-014 intersected the unconformity at 544.5m but did not encounter uranium mineralization.

International Enexo Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

The 2007 exploration program consisted of eight diamond drill holes. Estimated total meterage was 4,970m. The holes were focused in the area around line 29+00N, targeting the 2004-2005 IP-resistivity low and the 2006 TEM conductor axes and following up the mineralization intersected in MN-013.

The results of drilling are summarized in the following table:

Hole	From	To	Meters	U3O8
MN-015	516.6	516.95	0.35	0.25%
MN-016	611.8	612.1	0.3	0.236%
MN-016	625.6	625.8	0.2	0.028%
MN-017	No anomalous radioactivity was intersected			
MN-018	No anomalous radioactivity was intersected			
MN-019	546.7	547.1	0.4	1.3%
MN-020	510.4	510.9	0.5	0.232%
MN-020A	Abandoned due to difficulties in wedge setting			
MN-021	No anomalous radioactivity was intersected			

On February 19, 2008, the Company announced that Cameco had completed preparations for a geophysical survey over a portion of the Mann Lake High Grade Uranium Property. The survey, was directed by our joint venture partner Cameco Corporation, used the Time Domain Electromagnetic Method (TDEM) to better define conductor traces and provide future drill targets between Lines 44N and 50N, centred at approximately 1.6 kilometers north of and on strike from the MN-013 high grade discovery hole drilled in 2006 during the Phase 1 drilling program.

The TDEM geophysical survey was carried out across a large uranium-in-sandstone anomaly in the northern part of the north-south striking C conductor trend, which extends the entire length of the Mann Lake property. A 3-hole fence drilled in 1989 on Line 50N intersected a uranium anomaly in the basal Athabasca sandstone, with 283m of 1.76 ppm partial uranium in hole MN-05, 130m of 1.48 ppm partial uranium in hole MN-07 and 134m of 3.08 ppm partial uranium in hole MN-08. This anomaly is open over a 100-meter width across the strike of the C conductor trend. A 2005 resistivity survey identified a resistivity low approximately 300m grid south of the anomaly.

The Phase - 2 drilling program completed in 2007 tested some of the potential extensions of the high-grade intervals in MN-013 (7.12% U3O8 over 0.25 meters and 5.53% U3O8 over 0.4 meters). The nearest holes drilled during the 2007 campaign were approximately 50m away. A possible dilation zone was interpreted to be present to the east of MN-013, where flat foliation in the footwall changes to almost vertical in the hanging wall. This potentially favorable zone, as well as the IP resistivity low anomaly located under the

International Enexo Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

lake west of MN-013, has yet to be drill tested. A multitude of other untested areas remain at Mann Lake.

Results from the TDEM survey were used for the targeting of the 2009 drill program.

On January 6, 2009, the Company announced that the 2009 exploration program for the Mann Lake joint venture will include 6 – 8 holes of diamond drilling totalling approximately 5,000m in addition to approximately 44km of line refurbishment and gravity surveys.

On February 9, 2009, the Company announced that the winter phase of the 2009 drill program had commenced. Some of the proposed drill holes focused on expansion of the mineralization discovered during the 2006 – 2007 drill programs in the central part of the C conductor trend. The program also proposed to test interpreted structures from historical gravity data in the western part of the property, as well as geochemical anomalies and unconformity offset in the northern part of the conductor trend.

The winter drill program was completed in March with 6 holes drilled successfully for a total of 4,155m drilled.

On August 10, 2009, and later on October 7, 2009, the Company announced the assay results from the six drill holes completed in the 2009 winter Mann Lake drill program.

The assays received for all six holes in the program all exhibit strong boron (B) enrichment of the sandstone section above the Athabasca unconformity surface. MN-023 had a weighted average of 552 ppm boron over the entire sandstone section. International Enexo is encouraged by the discovery of high concentrations of boron as it is typical of alteration systems associated with unconformity style uranium mineralization in the southeastern portion of the Athabasca Basin.

Compared to historical statistics, holes MN-022 and 024 show relative anomalous uranium-in-sandstone concentrations. Most significantly 3.42 ppm U over the lower 126.4m of the sandstone in hole MN-024. Spot samples of sandstone and basement faulting returned local anomalies in MN-024, including 138 ppm U and 6610 B from a basal sandstone fault, and strong anomalous boron (15,400 ppm B) associated with a basement fault gouge. An 18m wide fault zone immediately above the unconformity contains local anomalous radioactivity (up to 250 cps, SPP2) associated with minor disseminated pitchblende. Cameco is working on the Mann Lake geophysics GoCad model and continues with interpretation of the 2009 drill results.

On November 16, 2009, the Company announced that a new drilling program will begin in early 2010. The drill program, to be operated by Cameco, was to consist of 4 to 5 diamond drill holes totaling approximately 3,000 to 3,800 meters. Drill targets selected are from interpreted results of previous drill and ground exploration programs along the project's C conductor trend including 2009 drilling, gravity coverage and geochemical

International Enexo Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

surveys. Two holes will target a recently improved geochemical anomaly and large unconformity offset west of the previously drilling high-grade intersections discovered at Mann Lake in 2006. Two other holes will target the northern portion of the C conductor trend where 2009 drilling intersected 163.8 meters of 1.74 ppm uranium and 1,006 ppm boron in the lower portion of the sandstone. The combined presence of boron and anomalous uranium are considered to be significant exploration guides for high-grade basement-hosted uranium in the eastern part of the Athabasca Basin.

An additional conductor, the approximately 3,500 meter long D conductor is located 3,000 meters east of the C conductor at Mann Lake. A drill hole is planned as a contingency hole on this conductor, which has not been drilled in any of the prior Mann Lake drill programs.

Two holes were attempted this winter but due to poor ice and ground conditions the drill program was stopped. The drill program recommenced in June and will be completed by the fall.

The geological information presented herein associated with the Mann Lake Project was provided by Cameco Corp. and was reviewed on behalf of International Enexo by Company Director Mr. Mel Klohn who is a Qualified Person (QP) as defined by Canadian National Instrument 43-101.

Contact, Nevada USA Property

The Company controls a strategic position over the historical Contact mining district, covering mines and prospects which produced (unofficial estimate, 1970) 30 million pounds of copper, and 126,000 ounces of silver with minor amounts of gold, lead, zinc and tungsten. The mineral deposits of the district occur near the contact of a Jurassic-aged granodiorite stock. Copper mineralization extends from surface to depths greater than 3000 feet vertically.

In 2007, the Company began an aggressive exploration program to expand the resource base and advance the Project to the pre-feasibility stage. The Company designed three phases of diamond drilling. Phase One was an initial 20,000 foot diamond drill program that was undertaken with a drilling contractor on the eastern end of the property, Phase Two was initially planned to be of equal size on the western side of the property. Phase Three was planned to test deep mineralization around high grade intersections encountered by Phelps Dodge in drill hole PD-4 in the 1970's, which included 10 feet of 20.7% Cu followed by 46 feet of 9.17% Cu at a depth of 2150 feet.

To expedite the Phase Two and Three programs and insure rig availability, the Company purchased two diamond drill core rigs. The first drill was purchased in July 2007, and became operational with the Company's own personnel the following month. The second drill was purchased in February 2008, and was similarly staffed with Company personnel and operated through 2008.

International Enesco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

On May 22, 2007, the Company announced results of metallurgical tests conducted by Dawson Metallurgical Laboratories, Inc., of Salt Lake City, Utah. The tests supported previous metallurgical work that indicated high levels of copper extraction using a combination of flotation and sulphuric acid leaching.

On March 17, 2008, the Company announced results from the Phase One program that revealed broader zones of copper oxide mineralization than anticipated. The results suggested a net increase in pounds of copper could be achieved by focusing efforts on the lower grade copper oxide resource, which could be amenable to conventional open pit mining and heap leaching.

The Phase Two program was completed in September 2008 and totaled 65,656 feet in 82 drill holes, in the area west of the Phase One. The Company also completed 21,190 feet of drilling in the Phase One area to obtain material for metallurgical tests, and 14,452 feet of deep drilling in the Phase Three area to the south.

On August 21, 2008, the Company announced it had retained Gustavson Associates, LLC of Boulder, Colorado to prepare an updated mineral resource estimate incorporating the new drilling and a Pre-Feasibility Study for the project. Gustavson Associates undertook the study using the design basis of an open pit mine and heap leach operation and processing via solvent extraction-electrowinning (SX-EW) to produce cathode copper on-site. Gustavson subsequently subcontracted Resource Development, Inc. of Wheat Ridge, Colorado, to perform initial diagnostic leach tests, and McClelland Laboratories, Inc. of Sparks, Nevada to perform column leach tests. The metallurgical test work was completed in March, 2009, and final reports received on May 27, 2009.

On August 17, 2009, the Company announced it has received a positive Pre-Feasibility Study (“PFS”) from Gustavson, in accordance with Canadian National Instrument 43-101, Standards of Disclosure for Minerals Projects (“NI 43-101”), for the Contact Copper Project. The NI 43-101 compliant study includes an update to the Independent Mineral Resource Estimate by Caracle Creek International Consulting, Inc. that was filed on Sedar on July 18, 2006.

The 2009 PFS detailed the project producing 21.9 million pounds per year of high purity cathode copper from heap leaching and solvent extraction-electrowinning (SX/EW) over a 7 year period at a cash cost of US\$0.97/lb. The initial capital cost is estimated at US\$83 million, including a contingency of 20%. If developed, the project will have an after-tax net present value (NPV) at 10% discount rate of US\$9.7 million, an internal rate of return (IRR) of 13.2%, and a payback period of 4.6 years at a copper price of US\$2.25/lb.

During the first quarter of 2010, the 2009 PFS and three dimensional block model were re-examined to further enhance the mineral reserve estimate. Improvements to the model and mineral reserve estimate were determined and additional drill hole data was also

International Enxco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

included and Gustavson was engaged to provide an amended report. The amended report was announced on June 2, 2010.

The updated changes resulted in a 161% increase in mineable copper and improvements to the cash flow model of 20.2% IRR, up from 13.2% in the 2009 PFS, and a net present value (NPV) at 10% discount rate of \$44.5 million, up from \$9.7 million in the 2009 PFS.

The updated Mineral Resource was estimated using a three dimensional block model and ordinary kriging and contains 711.8 million pounds (298.3 million pounds Measured and 413.4 million pounds Indicated) of copper (135.2 million tons at 0.263% Cu) and 291 million pounds of copper in the Inferred category (53.4 million tons at 0.272% Cu), based on a 0.10% Cu cut-off grade. The update includes an additional 20,745 feet of core that was completed in 20 drill holes, along with the re-interpretation of geologic contacts, and revised criteria for grade interpolation using an ID-2 model and the classification of resources.

Mineral Resource Estimate reported at 0.10% Cu cut-off.

Category	Tons	Copper	
		Cu (%)	Pounds Cu
Measured	52,976,000	0.282	298,397,000
Indicated	82,233,000	0.251	413,413,000
Measured+Indicated	135,209,000	0.263	711,810,000
Inferred	53,490,000	0.272	291,368,000

The mineable portion of copper reserves is now designated at 27.0 million tons at 0.30% Cu Proven and 27.1 million tons at 0.28% Cu Probable, for a total of 54.1 million tons at 0.28% Cu or 317 million pounds of copper. No inferred resources were used in either the production schedule or economic analysis in the PFS. The updated reserves represent a 161% increase in copper reserves, up from 33.6 million tons of 0.29% Cu or 197 million pounds of Cu proven and probable reserves in the 2009 PFS.

Mineral Reserve Estimate reported at 0.10% Cu cut-off.

Category	Tons	Copper	
		Cu (%)	Pounds Cu
Proven	27,011,000	0.305	164,701,000
Probable	27,138,000	0.28	152,199,000
Proven+Probable	54,149,000	0.293	316,900,000

For the updated PFS, Gustavson developed a 9 year mine plan (2009 PFS – 7 year mine plan) and production schedule based on an open pit mine producing 5.6 million tons of ore per year at an overall 2.9:1 stripping ratio. Column tests confirmed the copper, which is dominantly in oxide form, is amenable to leaching with sulfuric acid, with a net overall recovery of 76% at a minus-1” crush size. Gustavson examined alternatives for mining

International Enesco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

and crushing, and concluded in-pit crushing and conveying provided the best advantages in capital and operating costs in handling ore to the leach pad. The SX/EW plant is designed for a capacity of 35 tons per day of cathodes, which are expected to meet specifications for marketing as high purity copper. Net production from the operation is estimated at 241 million pounds of copper, supporting 25 million pounds per year of cathode copper from heap leaching and SX/EW, at an average cost projected at \$0.93/lb. The initial capital costs are now estimated at \$88 million, including a contingency of 20%. Based on the PFS copper price of \$2.25/lb, the project after-tax net present value (NPV) at 10% discount rate is forecast at \$44.5 million, and the internal rate of return (IRR) is 20.2%.

While the 2010 PFS concludes the project is economically feasible, the recommendations include additional drilling to improve the economics and advance the project to the feasibility level. Management believes there is still room for further improvements to the project in both terms of increasing the size of the resource and the economics of the mining model. The project is proceeding with engineering studies and pre-permitting steps that will lead us to production.

A copy of the full PFS Technical Report was filed on the SEDAR website on June 23, 2010. The Contact project is under the supervision of the Company's Manager of Engineering, Dr. William Willoughby, PE, who is a Qualified Person as defined in Canadian National Instrument 43-101.

Hannah, Nevada USA Property

On February 13, 2009, the Company entered into an option agreement with Lincoln Gold Corp. to acquire a 60% interest in 23 claims named the Hannah Property in Churchill County, Nevada. The property consists of unpatented claims on BLM land and has anomalous gold values in surface samples and reverse circulation drill holes. The option agreement was for a period of one year and required the Company to make the following cash payments to the Optionors:

- On execution of the agreement US\$15,000,
- Pay the underlying property payments on the property, not to exceed US\$25,000, during the option period,
- Pay to BLM and Churchill County the annual claim maintenance fees for 2009 for the property.

The option agreement also required the Company to make the following aggregate expenditures on the property:

- Drilling of 8,000 feet in a minimum of 12 holes on the property.
- The property is subject to a Net Smelter Return royalty in an underlying agreement of 4% for gold price above \$400/oz; 3% for gold price less than \$400/oz..

A total of 3,057 feet were drilled in 5 holes in the phase one program on the gold exploration project completed in June 2009. On October 7, 2009, the Company

International Enesco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

announced that due to poor assay results, it will not be conducting further drilling on the Hannah project. The project was written off at the end of the period.

Hot Pot, Nevada USA Property

On June 3, 2009, the Company entered into an option agreement with Nevada Exploration Inc. to acquire a 51% interest in 6 claims and land under a mining lease agreement totaling 2,225 acres, named the Hot Pot Property in Humboldt County, Nevada. The option agreement is for a period of three years and requires the Company to make the following aggregate expenditures on the property:

Drilling of 1,500 meters during each of the first two years of the option period,

Drilling of 3,000 meters during the third year of the option period.

At anytime within ninety days following the completion of its expenditures obligation, the Company has the option to elect to increase its participating interest in the property by an additional 19% to a total of 70% by agreeing to complete the additional aggregate expenditure on the property of 3,000 meters of drilling.

The property is subject to underlying Net Smelter Return royalties totaling 4.25%.

A phase one drill program commenced in June 2009. The property is located 6km east of Newmont's Lone Tree Mine (5 million ounces Gold) and 13km north of Goldcorp's Marigold Mine (4 million ounces Gold). The Company completed the phase one drill program in November totaling 11 holes and over 3,000 meters.

On January 25, 2010, the Company reported the results from its 2009 drilling program at Hot Pot. At Hot Pot, 3,462 meters of core drilling was completed in 11 drill holes, developing stratigraphic information and testing for mineralized structures beneath the alluvial cover. Doug McGibbon, an economic geologist with over 25 years of exploration experience in the Battle Mountain area, and responsible for major discoveries at the Marigold and Pinson mines, reviewed the drill core and exploration data to put the property into regional context.

Drilling encountered weak but widespread anomalous gold values within all 11 holes, spread across the 8.8 square kilometer property. Mr. McGibbon's study has confirmed that the hydrothermally altered and mineralized lithologies at Hot Pot are similar if not stratigraphically equivalent to those hosting orebodies at the Marigold mine. Although gold values only ranged up to 66 ppb, the mineralized zones encountered were up to 149 meters in length beneath overburden cover that was between 40 to 152 meters in all but two of the holes, with the spacing between holes still leaving sufficient room to host a significant gold deposit. Drilling also identified zones of oxidation to depths of 300 meters, significant intervals of brecciated material indicative of several major fault zones, and an apparent horst block with similarities to the geologic setting at the Lone Tree mine. Structural analysis is currently underway, and additional geochemical and geophysical work are being considered to focus further drilling.

International Enexo Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

Loomis, Nevada USA Property

On May 8, 2009, the Company entered into an option agreement with Fronteer Development Group Inc. to acquire a 51% interest in 92 claims named the Loomis Property in Elko County, Nevada. The option agreement is for a period of three years and requires the Company to make the following aggregate expenditures on the property:

Drilling of 2,000 meters during the first year of the option period and expenditures of US\$100,000,

Drilling of 4,000 meters during the second year of the option period and expenditures of US\$100,000,

Drilling of 5,000 meters during the third year of the option period and expenditures of US\$100,000.

The Company conducted surface work programs during the summer to refine drill targets and geology field crews confirmed previous rock chip and soil geochemical anomalies, along with structural mapping of targets. Surface sampling conducted by Enexo has confirmed gold occurrences in rock-chip samples ranging from anomalous to 7.1 grams per tonne gold. The samples fall within a target area containing several silicified breccias, up to 2 meters in width, within an exposed area approximately 500 metres by 1500 metres. A 2000 metre RC drilling program consisting of 12 angled holes commenced in October. In addition to testing the gold potential of the structures within the upper plate rocks, the RC program was planned to provide direction for later core drilling to intersect the structures at depth within the more favourable lower-plate rocks, which are the host for high grade gold mineralization elsewhere in the Carlin Trend.

The Company completed the phase one drilling on the Loomis Mountain Gold property in November completing 12 RC drill holes totaling 2,000 meters. Drilling confirmed a broad zone of anomalous gold mineralization believed related to a deeper high grade target within lower plate rocks of the Roberts Mountain allochthon.

Drill holes were located within a 500 by 1500 meter area where previous surface sampling had identified several silicified structures containing up to 7 g/t gold. The drilling revealed a broad zone of anomalous gold and trace element mineralization surrounding structures in the upper plate rocks, confirming that the structures are near-vertical and persistent to depths of at least 240 meters. The best intercept, in ELM-1, showed an average of 46 ppb gold over 152 meters, with values ranging up to 990 ppb at a depth of 145 meters. Trace element values were anomalous throughout all holes, ranging up to maximum 1660 ppm arsenic in ELM-4 and 73 ppm antimony in ELM-7.

During the period ended June 30, 2010, the Company decided not to continue with the option agreement. Acquisition and exploration costs were written off for the period ended June 30, 2010.

International Enexco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

For the Current Fiscal Year to Date

(a) Schedule of increases in deferred costs:

Name	Opening Balance	Increase (Decrease)	Write-off	Ending Balance
Contact	\$9,570,663	\$78,327		\$9,648,990
Hot Pot	1,644,023	19,625		1,663,648
Loomis	374,437	5,934	(380,371)	0
Mann Lake	1,383,432	155,535		1,538,967
Other projects	123,766	22,221		145,987
Total	\$13,096,321	\$281,642	(380,371)	\$12,997,592

(b) Schedule of exploration and development expenses:

Exploration and property costs:

Acquisition costs:

	Acquisition costs, beginning	Incurred (recovered) during period	Properties written-off	Acquisition, end of period
Contact	\$177,154	\$0	\$0	\$177,154
Hot Pot	22,840	0	0	22,840
Total	\$199,994	\$0	\$0	\$199,994

(b) Exploration expenses:

	Contact	Mann Lake	Hot Pot	Loomis	Other	Total
Exploration, Beginning of period	\$9,393,509	\$1,383,432	\$1,621,183	\$374,437	\$123,766	\$12,896,327
Amortization	1,153					1,153
Property maintenance fees			943		5,647	6,590
Drilling		114,696				114,696
Supplies and equipment		636				636
Exploration and geology personal		39,904	5,862	5,934	12,779	64,479
Engineering and prefeasibility study	76,268					76,268
Surveys and Staking					1,613	1,613
Assays		242	12,604		2,182	15,028
Communication and utilities	906	57	216			1,179
Exploration expenses written-off				(380,371)		(380,371)
Exploration, End of period	\$9,471,836	\$1,538,967	\$1,640,808	\$0	\$145,987	\$12,797,598

Proposed Transactions

In the normal course of business, as an ongoing part of the exploration process, the Company investigates mineral properties which are submitted to the Board of Directors for consideration. However, the Company continues to evaluate, review and negotiate a number of other prospective mineral projects in Canada and the USA.

International Enesco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

For the period ended June 30, 2010, the Company had invested \$145,987 in exploration expenditures on grass roots projects.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Critical accounting estimates used in the preparation of the financial statements include the Company's estimate of recoverable value on its mineral properties as well as the value of stock-based compensation. Both of these estimates involve considerable judgment and are, or could be, affected by significant factors that are beyond the Company's control.

Mineral properties

The Company's recorded value of its mineral properties is in all cases on historical costs that the Company expects to be recovered in the future. The Company's recoverability evaluation is based on market conditions for minerals, underlying mineral resources associated with the properties and future costs that may be required for ultimate realization through mining operations or by sale. The Company is in an industry that is exposed to a number of risks and uncertainties, including exploration risk, development risk, commodity price risk, operating risk, ownership risk, ownership and political risk, funding and currency risk, as well as environmental risk.

Stock-based compensation

The factors affecting stock-based compensation include estimates of when stock options might be exercised and the stock price volatility. The timing for exercise of options is out of the Company's control and will depend, among other things, upon a variety of factors including the market value of the Company's shares and financial objectives of the holders of the options. The Company has used historical data to determine volatility in accordance with Black-Scholes modeling, however, the future volatility is inherently uncertain and the model has its limitations. While these estimates can have a material impact on the stock-based compensation and hence results of operations, there is no impact on the Company's financial condition.

IFRS Changeover Plan: Assessment as of June 30, 2010

The Company is currently assessing the impact of IFRS on its financial statements and is in the process of designing a changeover plan. The key elements of the plan will address the impact of IFRS on:

- accounting policies, including choices among policies permitted under IFRS, and implementation decisions such as whether certain changes will be applied on a retrospective or a prospective basis;
- information technology and data systems;
- internal control over financial reporting;
- disclosure controls and procedures, including investor relations and external communications plans;

International Enesco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

- financial reporting expertise, including training requirements; and
- business activities, such as foreign currency, as well as matters that may be influenced by GAAP measures.

The Company has begun the process of training and educating key staff members on IFRS and the changeover plan. The Company is considering engaging the services of professional IFRS consultants to assist with the changeover to IFRS. The Company has begun the process of identifying differences in Canadian GAAP and its transition to IFRS which is mainly the disclosure in the financial statements. IFRS 1 requires an explanation of the effect of the transition from old Canadian GAAP to IFRS when the first financial statements are issued.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company ended the period with \$4,804,423 (2009 - \$8,305,470) cash and working capital of \$4,680,080 (2009 - \$8,229,994).

Net cash used in operating activities for the period ended was \$512,395 as compared to \$471,180 net cash from operating activities last year.

Net cash used in investing activities for the period ended was \$280,839 as compared to \$2,280,266 last year.

Net cash provided from financing activities for the period ended was \$Nil as compared to net cash provided of \$Nil last year.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Financial Instruments

The Company has not entered into any specialized financial agreements to minimize its investment risk, commodity risk and currency risk.

Related party transactions

The Company incurred costs of \$30,000 (2009 - \$24,000) for management fees and \$12,000 (2009 - \$6,000) for accounting fees, and \$18,690 (2009 - \$18,330) for office rent paid to a company controlled by G. Arnold Armstrong, a Director and CEO of the Company. The Company has a twelve month contract with the related Company expiring December 31.

Included in the accounts payable and accrued liabilities are amounts payable to a company controlled by G. Arnold Armstrong, a Director and CEO of the Company, of \$25,074 (2009 - \$25,082).

International Enexo Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

The above transactions were in the normal course of operations and were measured at the exchange amount which is the amount of consideration established and agreed to by the related parties.

Commitments

In December 2009, the Company extended the investor relations services of Mau Capital Management. Mau Capital will receive a fee of \$2,500 per month for twelve months.

Subsequent Events

On July 20, 2010, the Company granted to directors, officers and consultants options to purchase 595,000 shares of the Company priced at \$0.25 per share expiring July 20, 2015.

Other MD&A Requirements

For the Year Under Review

(a) Summary of Securities Issued During the Period:

None

(b) Summary of Options Granted During the Period:

165,000 options at a price of \$0.25 were forfeited.

75,000 options at a price of \$0.32 were granted to an employee expiring April 13, 2013.

As at the year ended December 31, 2009:

(a) Share Capital:

Authorized: Unlimited common shares without par value

Issued: 22,563,077 common shares

(b) Summary of Options, Warrants and Convertible Securities:

Options:

1,435,000 at a price of \$0.25 per share expiring October 30, 2013.

75,000 at a price of \$0.32 per share expiring April 13, 2013.

Warrants:

750,000 at a price of \$0.50 per share expiring November 3, 2010.

Shares in Escrow or Subject to Pooling Agreements: None.

International Enexco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

(c) List of Directors and Officers:

G. Arnold Armstrong,	Director and CEO, President, Vancouver, B.C.
Mel Klohn,	Director, Spokane Valley, Washington, USA
Daniel Frederiksen,	Director, and CFO, Vancouver, B.C.
S. Bradley Armstrong,	Director, Vancouver, B.C.
Paul McKenzie,	Director, Vancouver, B.C.
William Willoughby,	Director, Buhl, Idaho, USA
Shauna Hartman	Corporate Secretary, Surrey, B.C.

On December 31, 2009, Art Small, resigned as a Director for the Company and retired.

On May 12, 2010, the Company was saddened by the news of the passing away of Lloyd Bray. Lloyd Bray was a long-time Director of International Enexco Ltd. and his service was a great contribution to the Company.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The CEO and CFO have evaluated the effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures and assessed the design of the company's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2010.

Management has concluded that, as of June 30, 2010, weaknesses existed.

The internal controls and procedures of the Company have problems similar to many small venture companies. The size and location of the company's offices makes the maintenance of desirable internal controls difficult. Due to the limited number of staff, it is not possible to achieve complete segregation of duties. Similarly, the Company must engage accounting assistance with respect to complex, non-routine accounting issues, Canadian GAAP matters, tax compliance and reporting for its international operations.

Notwithstanding these weaknesses, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements and that information is timely and accurately disclosed consistent with Canadian securities laws and regulations. Although the identified weaknesses may be considered to increase the risk that a material misstatement due to error or fraud in the Company's financial statements would not be prevented or detected, neither has resulted in a material misstatement in the financial statements.

Management has concluded and the board has agreed that, taking into account the present stage of the company's development and the best interests of its shareholders, the Company does not have sufficient size and scale to warrant the hiring of additional staff to correct the weaknesses at this time.

International Enesco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

No material changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting were identified by management during the most recent interim period.

While management and the board of directors of the Company work to mitigate the risk of a material misstatement in the Company's financial reporting, the Company's control system, no matter how well designed or implemented, can only provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance of detecting, preventing and deterring errors and fraud.

Risk factors

The principal activity of the Company is mineral exploration which is inherently risky. There is intensive government legislation from state, provincial, federal, municipal and aboriginal governments, surrounding the exploration for and production of minerals from our and any mining operations. Exploration and development is also capital intensive and the Company currently has no source of income. Only the skills of its management and staff in mineral exploration and exploration financing serve to mitigate these risks, and therefore constitute one of the main assets of the Company.

The Corporation has its cash deposited with a large, federally insured, commercial bank which it believes to be creditworthy. Federal deposit insurance covers deposit balances up to \$100,000. Therefore, the majority of the Company's cash on deposit exceeds federal deposit insurance available.

Title

Title to mineral properties, as well as the location of boundaries on the grounds may be disputed. Moreover, additional amounts may be required to be paid to surface right owners in connection with any mining development. At all of such properties where there are current or planned exploration activities, the Company believes that it has either contractual, statutory, or common law rights to make such use of the surface as is reasonably necessary in connection with those activities. Although the Company believes it has taken reasonable measures to ensure proper title to its properties, there is no guarantee that title to its properties will not be challenged or impaired.

Successful challenges to the title of the Company's properties could impair the development of operations on those properties.

The Company's properties include unpatented mining claims, patented mining claims, and mineral rights on private lands. The Company's properties on unpatented mining claims, is land owned and administered by the U.S. government. A valid unpatented mining claim is an interest in real property that can be bought, sold, mortgaged, devised, leased and taxed, but it is always subject to the paramount title of the U.S. and the rights of third parties to use the surface of the claim in a manner that does not unreasonably interfere with the claimant's activities. Unpatented mining claims are mining claims located and staked on available federal public domain land in accordance with the U.S.

International Enesco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

General Mining Law of 1872, with dimensions not to exceed 600 feet by 1500 feet for lode claims (which constitute the great majority of the Company's unpatented mining claims), or 20 acres for placer claims. The process of locating an unpatented mining claim is initiated by the locator. Unpatented mining claims can be staked without any invitation from or grant by the federal government or any state government. A valid unpatented mining claim must include a discovery of valuable minerals. Prior to discovery, however, a mining claimant has a possessory right to conduct mineral exploration and development activities on the claim. The locator of a valid unpatented mining claim has the right to explore for, develop and mine minerals discovered on the claim, subject to compliance with the annual maintenance requirements of the U.S. Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 which currently requires timely payment of an annual maintenance fee in order to maintain an unpatented mining claim.

Unpatented mining claims are unique property interests, and are generally considered to be subject to greater title risk than private real property interests because the validity of unpatented mining claims is often uncertain. This uncertainty arises, in part, out of the complex federal and state laws and regulations that supplement the U.S. General Mining Law of 1872. Also, unpatented mining claims and related rights, such as rights to use the surface, are always subject to possible challenges by third parties or contests by the federal government. The validity of an unpatented mining claim, in terms of both its location and its maintenance, is dependent on strict compliance with a complex body of federal and state statutory and decisional law. In addition, there are few public records that definitively control the issues of validity and ownership of unpatented mining claims.

In recent years, the U.S. Congress has considered a number of proposed amendments to the General Mining Law, as well as comprehensive reform legislation. Although no such legislation has been adopted to date, there can be no assurance that such legislation will not be adopted in the future. If ever adopted, such legislation could, among other things, impose royalties on production from currently unpatented mining claims located on federal lands. If such legislation is ever adopted, it could have an adverse impact on earnings from the Company's operations, and it could reduce estimates of the Company's present resources and the amount of the Company's future exploration and development activity on federal lands.

Permits and Licenses

Although the Company either currently holds or has applied for or is about to apply for all consents which it requires in order to carry out its current drilling programs, the Company cannot be certain that it will receive the necessary permits and licenses on acceptable terms or at all, in order to conduct further exploration and to develop its properties. The failure to obtain such permits, or delays in obtaining such permits could adversely affect the operations of the Company. Government approvals and permits are currently and may in the future be required in connection with the operations of the Company. To the extent such approvals are required and not obtained; the Company may

International Enesco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

be curtailed or prohibited from continuing its mining operations or from proceeding with planned exploration or development of mineral properties.

Exploration and Development Efforts May Be Unsuccessful

There is no certainty that the expenditures to be made by the Company in the exploration and development of its properties as described herein will result in discoveries of mineralized material in commercial quantities. Most exploration and development projects do not result in the discovery of commercially mineable ore deposits and no assurance can be given that any particular level of recovery of ore reserves will in fact be realized or that any identified mineral deposit will ever qualify as a commercially mineable (or viable) ore body which can be legally and economically exploited. Estimates of reserves, mineable deposits and production costs can also be affected by such factors as environmental permitting regulations and requirements, weather, environmental factors, unforeseen technical difficulties, unusual or unexpected geological formations and work interruptions. In addition, the grade of ore ultimately mined may differ from that indicated by drilling results. Short term factors relating to ore reserves, such as the need for orderly development of ore bodies or the processing of new or different grades, may also have an adverse effect on mining operations and on the results of operations. There can be no assurance that minerals recovered in small scale tests will be duplicated in large scale tests under on-site conditions or in production scale. Material changes in ore reserves, grades, stripping ratios or recovery rates may affect the economic viability of any project.

Lack of Cash Flow

None of the Company's properties have advanced to the commercial production stage and the Company has no history of earnings or cash flow from operations. The Company does not expect to generate material revenue from mining operations or to achieve self-sustaining commercial mining operations for several years.

The Company has paid no dividends on its shares since incorporation and does not anticipate doing so in the foreseeable future. Historically, the only source of funds available to the Company is through the sale of its securities. Future additional equity financing would cause dilution to current shareholders.

No Mineral Resources or Reserves in Production

The properties in which the Company has an interest or right to earn an interest are in the exploration or pre-development stages only and are without a known body of ore in commercial production.

International Enesco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

Uncertainty of Obtaining Additional Funding Requirements

Programs planned by the Company may necessitate additional funding, which could cause a dilution of the value of the investment of the shareholders of the Company. The recuperation value of mining properties indicated in the balance sheet depends on the discovery of mineralization that can be profitably exploited and on the Company's capacity to obtain additional funds in order to realize these programs.

The Company's exploration activities can therefore be interrupted at any moment if the Company is incapable of obtaining the necessary funds in order to continue any additional activities that are necessary and that are not described in the exploration programs outlined in the Company's geological report for its properties.

Mineral Prices May Not Support Corporate Profit

The mining industry in general is intensely competitive and there is no assurance that, even if commercial quantities of mineral resources are developed, a profitable market will exist for the sale of same. Factors beyond the control of the Company may affect the marketability of any substances discovered. The price of minerals is volatile over short periods of time, and is affected by numerous factors beyond the control of the Company, including international, economic and political trends, expectations of inflation, currency exchange fluctuations, interest rates and global or regional consumption patterns, speculative activities and increased production due to improved mining techniques.

Competition

The mining industry is intensively competitive in all its phases. The Company competes with companies possessing greater financial resources and technical facilities than itself for the acquisition of mineral interests as well as for the recruitment and retention of qualified employees.

Environmental Regulations

The current and future operations of the Company, including further exploration, development activities and commencement of production on its properties, requires permits from various Canadian and U.S. Federal, Provincial and State governmental authorities.

Such operations are subject to various laws governing land use, the protection of the environment, production, exports, taxes, labour standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, mine safety and other matters. There can be no assurance, however, that all permits which the Company may require for construction of mining facilities and conduct of mining operations will be obtainable on reasonable terms. Amendments to current laws, regulations and permits governing operations and activities of mining companies, or more stringent implementation thereof, could have a material

International Enxco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

adverse impact on the Company and cause increases in capital expenditures, production costs, reduction in levels of production at producing properties, require abandonment or delays in development of new mining properties.

Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions thereunder, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment or remedial actions.

Parties engaged in mining operations may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of the mining activities and may have civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violation of applicable laws or regulations.

Uncertainty of Reserves and Mineralization Estimates

There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating proven and probable reserves and mineralization, including many factors beyond the control of the Company. The estimation of reserves and mineralization is a subjective process and the accuracy of any such estimates is a function of the quality of available data and of engineering and geological interpretation and judgment. Results of drilling, metallurgical testing and production and the evaluation of mine plans subsequent to the date of any estimate may justify revision of such estimates. No assurance can be given that the volume and grade of reserves recovered and rates of production will not be less than anticipated. Assumptions about prices are subject to greater uncertainty and metals prices have fluctuated widely in the past. Declines in the market price of base or precious metals also may render reserves or mineralization containing relatively lower grades of ore uneconomic to exploit. Changes in operating and capital costs and other factors including, but not limited to, short-term operating factors such as the need for sequential development of ore bodies and the processing of new or different ore grades, may materially and adversely affect reserves.

Foreign Operations

The Company's foreign activities are subject to the risk normally associated with conducting business in foreign countries, including exchange controls and currency fluctuations, limitations on repatriation of earnings, foreign taxation, laws or policies of particular countries, labour practices and disputes, and uncertain political and economic environments, as well as risk of war and civil disturbances, or other risk that could cause exploration or development difficulties or stoppages, restrict the movement of funds or result in the deprivation or loss of contract rights or the taking of property by nationalization or expropriation without fair compensation. Foreign operations could also be adversely impacted by laws and policies affecting foreign trade, investment and taxation. The Company currently has exploration projects located in the U.S.

International Enesco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

Operating Hazards and Risks Associated with the Mining Industry

Mining operations generally involve a high degree of risk, which even a combination of experience, knowledge and careful evaluation may not be able to overcome. Hazards such as unusual or unexpected formations and other conditions are involved.

Operations in which the Company has direct or indirect interest will be subject to all the hazards and risks normally incidental to exploration, development and production of precious and base metals, any of which could result in work stoppages, damage to or destruction of mines and other producing facilities, damage to life and property, environmental damage and possible legal liability for any or all damage. The Company may become subject to liability for cave-ins and other hazards for which it cannot insure or against which it may elect not to insure where premium costs are disproportionate to the Company's perception of the relevant risks. The payment of such insurance premiums and of such liabilities would reduce the funds available for exploration activities.

Ability to Manage Growth

Should the Company be successful in its efforts to develop its mineral properties or to raise capital for other mining ventures it will experience significant growth in operations. If this occurs management anticipates that additional expansion will be required in order to continue development. Any expansion of the Company's business would place further demands on its management, operational capacity and financial resources. The failure to manage growth effectively could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Lack of a Dividend Policy

The Company does not presently intend to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future, as any earnings are expected to be retained for use in developing and expanding its business. However, the actual amount of dividends received from the Company will remain subject to the discretion of the Company's board of directors and will depend on results of operations, cash requirements and future prospects of the Company and other factors.

Possible Dilution to Present and Prospective Shareholders

The Company's plan of operation, in part, contemplates the accomplishment of business negotiations by the issuance of cash, securities of the Company, or a combination of the two, and possibly, incurring debt. Any transaction involving the issuance of previously authorized but unissued common shares, or securities convertible into common shares,

International Enesco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

would result in dilution, possibly substantial, to present and prospective holders of common shares.

Dependence on Key Personnel

The Company strongly depends on the business and technical expertise of its management and key personnel. There is little possibility that this dependence will decrease in the near term.

As the Company's operations expand, additional general management resources will be required, especially since the Company encounters risks that are inherent in doing business in several countries.

Conflict of Interest

Certain directors of the Company are also directors, officers or shareholders of other companies that are similarly engaged in the business of acquiring, developing and exploiting natural resource properties. Such associations may give rise to conflicts of interest from time to time. The directors of the Company are required by law to act honestly and in good faith with a view to the best interests of the Company and to disclose any interest which they may have in any project or opportunity of the Company. If a conflict arises at a meeting of the board of directors, any director in a conflict will disclose his interest and abstain from voting on such matter. In determining whether or not the Company will participate in any project or opportunity, the directors will primarily consider the degree of risk to which the Company may be exposed and its financial position at that time.

Lack of Trading Volume

The lack of trading volume of the Company's shares reduces the liquidity of an investment in the Company's shares.

Volatility of Share Price

Market prices for shares of early stage companies are often volatile. Factors such as announcements of mineral discoveries, financial results, and other factors could have a significant effect on the price of the Company's shares.

Outlook and Investor Relations

The Company continues to be logged into the SEDAR electronic filing system for the purpose of reporting on a timely basis. The Company will keep its shareholders advised as to the status of exploration and development on all its properties through the issuance of timely press releases via Vancouver Stockwatch and mail outs respecting same.

International Enesco Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

Additional information related to the Company is available on the Company's website at www.enesco.ca.

Forward Looking Statements

Certain statements contained in this Management Discussion and Analysis constitute "forward-looking statements". These statements relate to future events or the Company's future performance, business prospects or opportunities. All statements other than statements of historical fact may be forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are often, but not always, identified by the use of words such as "seek", "anticipate", "plan", "continue", "estimate", "expect", "may", "project", "predict", "potential", "targeting", "intend", "could", "might", "should", "believe" and similar expressions. Information concerning the interpretation of drill results, mineral resource and reserve estimates and capital cost estimates may also be deemed as forward-looking statements as such information constitutes a prediction of what mineralization might be found to be present and how much capital will be required if and when a project is actually developed. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results or events to differ materially from those anticipated in such forward-looking statements. The Company believes that the expectations reflected in those forward-looking statements are reasonable, but no assurance can be given that these expectations will prove to be correct and such forward-looking statements included in this Management Discussion and Analysis should not be relied upon. These statements speak only as of the date of this Management Discussion and Analysis. Actual results and developments are likely to differ, and may differ materially, from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements contained in this Management Discussion and Analysis. Such statements are based on a number of assumptions which may prove to be incorrect, including, but not limited to, assumptions about:

- general business and economic conditions;
- the supply and demand for, deliveries of, and the level and volatility of prices of copper, uranium, or other mineral commodities under exploration;
- the availability of financing for the Company's exploration and development projects on reasonable terms;
- the ability to procure equipment and operating supplies in sufficient quantities and on a timely basis;
- the ability to attract and retain skilled staff;
- market competition;
- the accuracy of our resource estimate (including, with respect to size, grade and recoverability) and the geological, operational and price assumptions on which it is based; and
- tax benefits and tax rates.

These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties relating to, among other things, risks related to international operations, actual results of current exploration activities, conclusions of economic evaluations, changes in project parameters as plans

International Enexo Limited
Period ended June 30, 2010
Management Discussion and Analysis

continue to be refined, as well as those factors discussed in the section “Risk Factors”. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially include, but are not limited to, the risk factors discussed in the section. The Company cautions that the foregoing list of important factors is not exhaustive. Although the Company has attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking statements, there may be other factors that cause results not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended. There can be no assurance that such statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. The Company does not undertake to update any forward-looking statements that are incorporated by reference herein, except in accordance with applicable securities laws.